Presented to the Court by the foreman of the 1 Grand Jury in open Court, in the presence of the Grand Jury and FILED in The U.S. 2 DISTRICT COURT at Seattle, Washington. 3 4 5 б UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NOCR03 0281 L 9 Plaintiff, 10 INDICTMENT v. 11 HOWARD O. HOWELL, 12 Defendant. 13 03-CR-00281-INDI 14 The Grand Jury charges that: 15 **COUNTS 1-5** (Mail Fraud) 16 17 INTRODUCTION I. 18 The Defendant and His Businesses A. 19 At all relevant times, HOWARD O. HOWELL, had a majority ownership 1. 20 interest in and operated a business known as Touch Menus, Inc. (hereafter "Touch 21 Menus"). 22 Touch Menu's business involved the development and sale of certain 2. 23 computer and related hardware and computer software whose principal application was 24 in the restaurant business. Among other things, the products allowed restaurant 25 servers to take and enter orders electronically at tableside. Touch Menu products were 26 also used in the fast food industry. 27 Touch Menu's office and HOWARD O. HOWELL'S residence were 28 located in Bellevue, Washington, within the Western District of Washington.

 4. HOWARD O. HOWELL also operated as a sole proprietorship a business known as CompuLease. CompuLease entered into leases with restaurants for lease of the products developed and sold by Touch Menus.

B. Howard O. Howell's Relationship With Price and Other Investors

- 5. Beginning on or about 1988, HOWARD O. HOWELL began a business relationship with Farrel Price, who provided financing for the HOWARD O. HOWELL businesses. The financing took place through a mechanism known as sale/lease-back financing.
- 6. In the typical transaction, Farrel Price or an entity he owned and controlled would purchase from HOWARD O. HOWELL certain Touch Menus products, which Farrel Price or his entity would lease back to HOWARD O. HOWELL, thereby creating a debt owed by HOWARD O. HOWELL to Farrel Price or his entity. HOWARD O. HOWELL, through CompuLease, would in turn lease the products to a restaurant customer, and pledge that lease as collateral for the debt owed by HOWARD O. HOWELL to Farrel Price or his entity.
- 7. After a series of business dealings between HOWARD O. HOWELL and Farrel Price and entities Farrel Price owned and controlled, friends, family and acquaintances of Farrel Price also began investing with HOWARD O. HOWELL by engaging in sale/lease-back transactions in the same manner as Farrel Price.
- 8. The entities and/or accounts owned and controlled by Farrel Price that entered into sale/lease-back transactions with HOWARD O. HOWELL and his businesses included the following (collectively referred to herein as the "Farrel Price Entities"):

```
AFP Enterprises Limited Partnership
AFP Family Limited Partnership
AFP IRA #1
AFP IRA #2
AFP Keogh
Progressive Funding LLC
Personal Success Systems, Inc.
Price Carlson Funding LLC (50% with Richard Carlson)
Price Funding LLC (50% with Bradley Price)
```

- 13. It was part of the scheme to defraud that HOWARD O. HOWELL repeatedly deceived Farrel Price, the Farrel Price Entities and the Other Investors by presenting leases purportedly entered into by restaurant owners, along with supporting credit reports, in order to induce Farrel Price, the Farrel Price Entities and the Other Investors to advance funds for his businesses through sale/lease-back transactions. The leases presented by HOWARD O. HOWELL, however, were false and fraudulent in that the restaurant owners had not entered into leases with HOWARD O. HOWELL or his businesses, and the leases were in fact forged by HOWARD O. HOWELL.
- 14. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that HOWARD O. HOWELL chose both restaurant names and purported restaurant owners' names from random, oftentimes from the telephone book, and fabricated leases using those names. Neither the restaurants nor the purported owners had entered into a leases with HOWARD O. HOWELL or his businesses.
- 15. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that HOWARD O. HOWELL would forge the signatures on the fabricated leases.
- 16. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that HOWARD O.

 HOWELL would obtain credit reports for the purported restaurant owners under false pretenses from a credit reporting agency.
- 17. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that HOWARD O.
 HOWELL would periodically provide Farrel Price with aging reports, purportedly showing the leases for which there were past due balances, when in reality many leases listed on the aging reports had been fabricated and no sums were owed from the purported restaurants or their owners.
- 18. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that with respect to a request for new funds from Farrel Price, a Farrel Price Entity and/or other Investor, HOWARD O. HOWELL would present: a.) a forged lease, representing it to be a genuine lease; and b.) the credit report for the purported restaurant owner, representing it to be evidence of the owner's good credit.

 19. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that HOWARD O.

HOWELL would pay sums owed on fabricated leases with funds advanced by Farrel

Price, a Farrel Price Entity or other Investor with respect to subsequent fabricated
leases.

- 20. It was further a part of the scheme to defraud that over the course of approximately five years, HOWARD O. HOWELL presented hundreds of fabricated leases, often accompanied by a credit report on the purported lessee, and by such conduct obtained substantial sums of money from Farrel Price, Farrel Price Entities and Other Investors through false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises.
- 21. At the time of the discovery of the fraud, the principal amount left owing to Farrel Price, the Farrel Price Entities and the Other Investors on the transactions involving fabricated leases, after application of payments pursuant to an agreed upon interest rate and agreed upon amortization schedules, exceeded ten million dollars (\$10,000,000).

III. EXECUTION OF THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

22. On or about the dates set forth below, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to do so, and for the purpose of obtaining money by means of false and fraudulent material pretenses, representations and promises, HOWARD O. HOWELL knowingly caused to be sent from Henderson, Nevada, for delivery to him at 13609 NE 26th Place, Bellevue, Washington, within the Western District of Washington, by commercial interstate carrier, specifically Federal Express (Fed Ex), the following checks in connection with transactions with the listed investor in which he had fabricated and forged one or more leases, each such shipment by interstate carrier constituting a separate count of this Indictment:

Count	Investor	Description of Check Sent	Approx. Date Sent by Interstate Carrier
1	Lincoln Financial Services LLC	Check in the sum of \$60,000 payable to Howard Howell	4/18/2000
2	Lincoln Financial Services LLC	Check in the sum of \$66,000 payable to Howard Howell	6/12/2000
3	Lincoln Financial Services LLC	Check in the sum of \$64,000 payable to Howard Howell	9/13/2000
4	Lincoln Financial Services LLC	Check in the sum of \$65,000 payable to Howard Howell	10/17/2000
5	Lincoln Financial Services LLC	Check in the sum of \$35,000 payable to Howard Howell DBA	11/9/2000

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

COUNTS 6-11 (Wire Fraud)

- 23. The Grand Jury realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-21 as constituting the scheme to defraud.
- 24. On or about dates set forth below, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to do so, and for the purpose of obtaining money by means of false and fraudulent material pretenses, representations and promises, HOWARD O. HOWELL knowingly caused transmission of writings, signs, signals, pictures and/or sounds by wire communication in interstate commerce, specifically by using a computer system in the Western District of Washington to access electronic data in a computer system in the state of Georgia, and obtain from it credit reports on the individuals identified by their initials below, which individuals he had falsely and fraudulently represented to the listed investor as legitimate parties to leases for restaurant equipment, each such wire communication constituting a separate count of this Indictment:

Count	Investor	Approximate Date Credit Report Obtained	Name on Credit Report
6	A. Farrel Price Family Limited Partnership	9/12/98	H.Y.
7	AFP Enterprises Limited Partnership	1/14/99	D.M.
8	AFP Enterprises Limited Partnership	2/23/99	S.P.
9	A. Farrel Price Family Trust	9/19/99	A.B.
10	A. Farrel Price Family Trust	9/19/00	J.A.
11	Progressive Funding L.P.	5/6/00	K.G.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

COUNTS 12 - 17 (Obtaining Information on Consumers Under False Pretenses)

- 25. The Grand Jury realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-21.
- 26. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Equifax was a consumer reporting agency that did business nationwide.
- 27. On hundreds of separate occasions, beginning on a date unknown, but believed to be by at least 1997 and continuing through approximately December, 2000, HOWARD O. HOWELL knowingly and willfully obtained and caused to be obtained credit reports on consumers from a credit reporting agency under false pretenses, that is HOWARD O. HOWELL obtained the credit reports when he had no legitimate business transactions with those consumers but instead obtained the credit reports as part of his scheme to defraud Farrel Price and the Other Investors as described elsewhere in this Indictment.

 28. On or about the dates listed below, within the Western District of Washington, HOWARD O. HOWELL, did knowingly and willfully obtain and cause to be obtained information on the identified consumers from a consumer reporting agency, specifically Equifax, under false pretenses by falsely representing to Equifax that the information was being obtained in connection with legitimate business transactions, each such access of a credit report constituting a separate count of this Indictment:

Count	Approximate Date Credit Report Obtained	Name on Credit Report
12	9/12/98	H.Y.
13	1/14/99	D.M.
14	2/23/99	S.P.
15	9/19/99	A.B.
16	9/19/00	J.A.
17	5/6/00	K.G.

In violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 1681q.

A TRUE BILL:

DATED: 25 June 2003

United States Attorney

FLOYD G. SHORT

Assistant United States Attorney

SUSAN LOITZ

Assistant United States Attorney

INDICTMENT/Howard O. Howell - 8 2001R01006